



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450

DATE MAILED: 11/24/2003

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
_	10/618,786	07/14/2003	Joseph A.A.M. Tourne	8245.056	7339	
	30589 7.	590 11/24/2003		EXAM	INER	
	DUNLAP, CO PO BOX 16370	ODDING & ROGERS I	P.C.	LIN, TINA M		
		, CITY, OK 73113		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2874		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

N	γ
14	•

					KN X				
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/618,78	36	TOURNE, JOSEPH A.A.M.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Tina M Lir		2874					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period or reply specified above, the maintime, the high system of the apply within the stablety minimum of thirty, 301 days, will be considered timely. If the period is a specified above, the maintime, the stablety period will apply and size eight system of the stablety period will apply and system of the stablety period will apply and system of the stablety period will be considered timely. Any reply reviewed by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any samed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n							
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is no	on-final.						
3)□	Isince this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
	ion Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 14.July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.									
2) Notic	nt(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper		4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2874

DETAILED ACTION

New corrected drawings are required in this application because: The drawings filed with this application on 14 July 2003, are objected to as being informal. Notice that Figures 1-4 have handwritten labels. Correction is required in response to this office action. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co., 151 U.S. 186 (1894); In re Ockert, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1 and 2 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,594,435 B2 to Tourne. This is a double patenting rejection. Notice that Applicant's Claims 1 and 2 read exactly word for word as Claims 1 and 2 of U.S. Patent 6,594,435 B2.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. References A-C are cited for the same reasons as in the Parent case, U.S. Patent Application 10/179,756, which is now U.S. Patent 6,594,435 B2 to Tourne.

Art Unit: 2874

The documents submitted by applicant in the Information Disclosure Statement have been considered and made of record. Note attached copy of form PTO-1449.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tina M Lin whose telephone number is (703) 305-1959. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by tolephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney Bovernick can be reached on (703) 308-4819. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

TML

John D. Lee